



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ll

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,013	01/16/2002	Wolfgang Rosner	L&L-10232	5714
24131	7590	04/22/2004	EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ERDEM, FAZLI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/047,013

Applicant(s)

ROSNER ET A

Examiner

Fazli Erdem

Art Unit

2826

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 10, 11, and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes et al. (6,498,065) in view of Fitch et al. (5,554,870) further in view of Burns, Jr. et al. (6,077,745) further in view of Schafer et al. (DE 19632833).

Regarding Claims 10, 11, and 16, Forbes et al. disclose a memory address decode array with vertical transistors where a decoder for a memory device is provided. The decoder array includes a number of address lines and a number of output lines. The address lines and the output lines form an array. A number of vertical transistors are selectively disposed at intersections of output lines and address lines. Each transistor is formed in at least one pillar of semiconductor material that extends outwardly from a working surface of a substrate. The vertical transistors each include source, drain, and body regions. A gate is also formed along at least one side of the at least one pillar and is coupled to one of the number of address lines. The transistors in the array implement a logic function that selects an output line responsive to an address provided to the address lines. Forbes et al. fail to disclose the required capping layer, insulators and the pillar in the required manner, and statistical mask structure. However, Fitch et al. disclose an integrated circuit having both vertical and horizontal devices and process for making the same where the required capping layer is disclosed. Furthermore, Burns, Jr. et al.

Art Unit: 2826

disclose a self-aligned diffused source vertical transistors with stack capacitors in a 4F-Square memory cell array where the required insulators and pillars in the required manner is disclosed. Finally, Schafer et al. disclose a cold cathode emitter manufacturing where the required statistical mask structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required capping layer, the insulator and pillar in the required manner and statistical mask structure in Forbes et al. as taught by Fitch et al., Burns, Jr. et al., and Schafer et al. respectively in order to have a vertical memory structure with better performance.

2. Claims 12 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes et al. (6,498,065) in view of Fitch et al. (5,554,870) further in view of Burns, Jr. et al. (6,077,745) further in view of Schafer et al. (DE 19632833) further in view of Forbes et al. (6,134,175).

Regarding Claims 12 and 13, Forbes et al., Fitch et al., Burns, Jr. et al., and Schafer et al. combination fail to disclose the required doping structure. However, Forbes et al. (6,134,175) disclose a memory address decode array with vertical transistors where the required doping structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required doping structure in Forbes et al. (6,498,065), Fitch et al., Burns, Jr. et al. and Schafer et al. as taught by Forbes et al. (6,134,175) in order to have a vertical memory structure with better performance.

Art Unit: 2826

3. Claim 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes et al. (6,498,065) in view of Fitch et al. (5,554,870) further in view of Burns, Jr. et al. (6,077,745) further in view of Schafer et al. (DE 19632833) further in view of Bertin et al. (6,060,746).

Regarding Claim 14, Forbes et al., Fitch et al., Burns, Jr. et al. and Schafer et al. combination fail to disclose the required tunnel structure. However, Bertin et al. disclose a power transistor having vertical FETs and method of making same where the required tunnel structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required tunnel structure in Forbes et al., Fitch et al., Burns, Jr. et al. and Schafer et al. as taught by Bertin et al. (6,060,746) in order to have a vertical memory structure with better performance.

4. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes et al. (6,498,065) in view of Fitch et al. (5,554,870) further in view of Burns, Jr. et al. (6,077,745) further in view of Schafer et al. (DE 19632833) further in view of Biegelsen et al. (5,607,876).

Regarding Claim 15, Forbes et al., Fitch et al., Burns, Jr. et al. and Schafer et al. combination fail to disclose the required core structure. However, Biegelsen et al. disclose a fabrication of quantum confinement semiconductor light-emitting device where the required core structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required core structure in Forbes et al., Fitch et al., Burns, Jr.

Art Unit: 2826

et al. and Schafer et al. as taught by Biegelsen et al. (5,607,876) in order to have a vertical memory structure with better performance.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE

April 18, 2004


Minhloan Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2826